

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/844,061	04/26/2001		Nancy Elisabeth Krauss	R0070B-REG	9572		
24372	7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER			
ROCHE PA				WARD, PAUL V			
PATENT LA 3431 HILLV		M/S A2-250 ENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
PALO ALTO				1623	1623		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/844,061	KRAUSS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,				
	PAUL V WARD	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provising after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this country of the period for reply specified above is less than thirt. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI pply will, by statute, cause the application to become Ans after the mailing date of this communication, even it	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comn NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on	•					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition	on for allowance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is				
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 and 38-43 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 38-43</u> is/are re	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 38-43</u> is/are rejected. ')□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	riction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected	I to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	:						
 Certified copies of the prior 	ity documents have been received.						
	ity documents have been received in <i>i</i>						
	es of the priority documents have been	n received in this National St	age .				
• • •	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4 respired					
" See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified copies no	t received.	,				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Or PTO/SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of 6) Other:		<i>VL)</i>				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-19 and 28-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (U.S. Patent 4,987,023).

Applicants claim a compound of formula I:

wherein all the variables are defined in the claims.

Sato teaches a compound containing the structure wherein R2 is an alkyl, R3 is hydrogen, A is alkyl, B is aryl, and R1 is an alkyl. (See col. 11, Example 8). The compound in Sato falls within the range of the presently claim compound. Since Sato teaches the exact compounds, Applicants' claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

2. Claims 1-19 and 28-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,857,530).

Berman teaches analgesic compounds concerning p-(sulfonyl)-aryl and heteroaryl amines having the exact formula I as Applicant wherein R2 is NR¹³R¹⁴, R3 is H, R1 is an

Application/Control Number: 09/844,061

Art Unit: 1623

alkyl, A is (CR₂)_n and B is substituted aryl or heteroaryl. (See Abstract, col. 2, line 20, and col. 7 lines 65-70). The compound in Berman falls within the range of the presently claim compounds. Since Berman teaches the exact compounds, Applicants' claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

3. Claims 1-19 and 28-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (U.S. Patent 5,538,976).

Okada teaches analgesic compounds concerning p-(sulfonyl)-aryl and heteroaryl amines having the exact formula I as Applicant wherein R2 is an alkyl, R3 is H, R1 is a heterocycle, A is (CR₂)_n and B is a substituted aryl or heteroaryl. (See Abstract, col. 1, line 55, and col. 14, line 25). The compound in Okada falls within the range of the presently claim compounds. Since Okada teaches the exact compounds, Applicants' claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19, 38-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (U.S. Patent 4,987,023), Berman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,857,530) and Okada et al. (U.S. Patent 5,538,976).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,061

Art Unit: 1623

Sata, Berman and Okada teach a generic group of quinazolinones and amino derivatives, which embraces Applicants' claimed compounds. (See formula 1, and definitions for A, B, R¹, R² and R³). The claims differ from the reference by reciting specific species and a more limited genus than the reference. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties, and thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. A prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus. Thus, Applicant's claims are obvious, and therefore, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Conclusion

Claims 1-19 and 38-43 are pending. Claims 1-19 and 38-43 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center